

## Master Thesis/ Semester Project

# Path Decomposition of Random Directed Graphs

A directed graph  $D$  (or digraph for short) is a graph with a vertex set  $V(D)$  and edge set  $E(D) \subseteq V^2$ . Instead of the usual degree notion, we consider the *in-* and *out-degree* of a vertex:  $d^-(v)$  counts the number of edges going *into*  $v$  and  $d^+(v)$  counts the number of edges *leaving*  $v$ .

A *path decomposition* of a digraph  $D$  is a family of paths such that every edge of  $D$  is contained in exactly one path of the family. The path number  $\text{pn}(D)$  is the minimal number of paths necessary for a path decomposition of  $D$ .

**Upperbounds:** It was conjectured by Alsbach and Pullmann [1], and later proved by O'Brien [5] that the number of path required to decompose any digraph is at most  $n^2/4$ . This bound is tight (consider the complete bipartite graph  $K_{n/2, n/2}$  in which all edges are oriented in the same direction), but very far from the truth for many graphs.

For various families of graphs, one can prove an significantly smaller upperbound: for instance, it was conjectured by Bollobás and Scott [2] that  $O(n)$  many paths are sufficient for any  $n$ -vertex *Eulerian* digraph (i.e.  $d^-(v) = d^+(v)$  for all vertices  $v$ ) and in a recent work [4], we show that this is true up to a factor  $\log \bar{d}$ , where  $\bar{d}$  denotes the *average degree* of the digraph.

**Lowerbounds:** Regarding lower bounds one easily checks that for any graph  $D$ ,  $\text{pn}(D) \geq \frac{|E(D)|}{n-1}$ , as each path can cover at most  $n-1$  many edges. After thinking for a bit, one can find another very interesting lower bound: we define the *excess* at a vertex  $v$  as  $\text{ex}(v) := d^+(v) - d^-(v)$  and the total excess of the graph  $D$  as

$$\text{ex}(D) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(D)} |\text{ex}(v)|.$$

For any path decomposition and any given vertex  $v \in V(D)$ , we need to have at least  $|\text{ex}(v)|$  paths that start or end in  $v$  (depending on whether the excess is positive or negative), and this clearly implies that

$$\text{pn}(D) \geq \text{ex}(D).$$

A graph is called *consistent* if there is equality, i.e. if  $\text{pn}(D) = \text{ex}(D)$ . One could hope that all graphs are consistent (as it would give a simple method of computing the path number of all graphs), but this is not the case since Eulerian graphs have excess 0 but require at least one (and possibly many) path(s) to be decomposed.

Yet, in a recent work, Espuny Díaz, Patel and Stroh [3] showed that the random digraph  $D_{n,p}$  is consistent with probability  $1 - o(1)$  if

$$\frac{\log^4 n}{n^{1/3}} \leq p \leq 1 - \frac{\log^{5/2} n}{n^{1/5}}.$$

Here, a *random digraph*  $D \sim D_{n,p}$  is sampled by adding each of the possible  $n(n-1)$  edges independently with probability  $p$  (similar to the binomial random graph model  $G_{n,p}$ ).

**Goal of the Project** In this project you will explore how  $\text{pn}(D)$  behaves in  $D_{n,p}$  in other regimes of  $p$ . Your goals will be:

- Familiarize yourself with state-of-the-art exploration methods.
- Apply known methods to  $D_{n,p}$  and see where the limitations are.
- Find general upper bounds for  $\text{pn}(D_{n,p})$ . Evaluate if these are best possible for given regimes of  $p$ .
- Determine for which  $p$  we have that  $D_{n,p}$  is consistent.

More information and grading scheme can be found on:

<https://www.cadmo.ethz.ch/education/thesis/guidelines.html>

**Prerequisites:** Random graphs - ideally you took our course ‘Randomised Algorithms and Probabilistic Methods’

**Supervisor:** Charlotte Knierim/Maxime Larcher, CAB G 17, {cknierim, larcherm}@inf.ethz.ch

**Supervising Professor:** Prof. Dr. Angelika Steger, CAB G 37.2, steger@inf.ethz.ch

## References

- [1] B. R. Alspach and N. J. Pullman. Path decompositions of digraphs. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 10(3):421–427, 1974.
- [2] B. Bollobás and A. D. Scott. A proof of a conjecture of bondy concerning paths in weighted digraphs. *journal of combinatorial theory, Series B*, 66(2):283–292, 1996.
- [3] A. E. Díaz, V. Patel, and F. Stroh. Path decompositions of random directed graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.13565*, 2021.
- [4] C. Knierim, M. Larcher, A. Martinsson, and A. Noever. Long cycles, heavy cycles and cycle decompositions in digraphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 148:125–148, 2021.
- [5] R. C. O’Brien. An upper bound on the path number of a digraph. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 22(2):168–174, 1977.